



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

09/761,416 FILING DATE 01/16/2001		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4785
		Mari Horiguchi	09812.0156-00000	
22852	7590 12/12/20	06 .	EXAMINER	
FINNEGA	N, HENDERSON, F	BOCCIO, VINCENT F		
<del></del>	ORK AVENUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ΓON, DC 20001-441	2621	<del>-</del>	

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s	)			
Office Action Summary			09/761,416	HORIGUCH	HORIGUCHI, MARI			
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Vincent F. Boccio	2621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this community or Reply	nication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the corresponden	ce address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IT IN IT IS	MAILING DA is of 37 CFR 1.13 imunication. statutory period w ly will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	NICATION.  A reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication. 33).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <i>Amer</i>	ndment 9/8/06 and RCL	E 10/11/06.				
<u> </u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4) 🛛	4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	<ul> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>							
6)⊠								
7)								
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restri	iction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers			•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examinei	ſ <b>.</b>					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a) 🗌 acce	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85	i(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correcti	on is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See	37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attach	ned Office Action or for	m PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	y documents	s have been received.		•			
	2. Certified copies of the priority	y documents	s have been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the prior	ity documents have be	en received in this Nat	ional Stage			
	application from the Internation							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list (	of the certified copies n	ot received.				
		•						
Attachmen								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO-948)	<i>,</i> —	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date				
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	·	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Applicatio	n			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) [ Other:								

Art Unit: 2621

#### DETAILED ACTION

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2621.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are deemed to be merely reiterated and have already been addressed by the examiner in the previous office action, wherein the examiner incorporates by reference the previous arguments.

In summary, Kim has not been used to teach a network limitation therefore, the arguments associated therewith are not persuasive. The network is deemed to be shown by Humpleman (col. 5, lines 27-39, "HOME NETWORK 100 is not restricted to using a 1394 serial bus ... home Network").

The predetermined block format is met by storing digital information and reads directly on the prior art as applied, further all formats are deemed predetermined and further a block is met by storing digital data.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2621

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al. (US 6,182,094) and Kim (US 5,526,130) and further in view of Alexander et al. (US 6,177,931).

The examiner incorporates by reference the last action against the claims, wherein the examiner will address the newly added limitation, not met by the previous combination as applied.

The combination as applied is deemed to meet all previous limitations as applied, but have been amended to further recite wherein, comprises at least display but, fails to disclose the limitations of:

"display means for displaying a warning indicating double booking of recording reservations to a user and displaying the cause of the double booking in accord to the second pieces of information."

Alexander teaches at col. 12, line 53 to col. 13, line 22, when, "If the detects an overlap in date, time in the record list, the EPG formats a message to the viewer describing the conflict", which reads on a warning which describes, "the cause of the double booking in accord to the second pieces of information", being an overlap or double booking situation with events, thereby informing by alerting the user and describing the conflict thereby informing the user and allowing the user to correct the overlap, as taught by Alexander.

Art Unit: 2621

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination by incorporating displaying a warning indicating double booking of recording reservations to a user and/or {in the form} of a display the caused the double booking, in accord to the second pieces of information (multiple events set that overlap), as taught by Alexander in order to allow user to be informed of double bookings and to allow for adjustments to the conflicts caused by overlapping shows or programs in time and date, as taught by Alexander.

## Contact Fax Information

Any response to this action should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, for communication as intended for entry, this Central Fax Number as of 7/15/05

## Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the examiner of record, Monday-Tuesday & Thursday-Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Vincent F. Boccio (571) 272-7373.

Primary Examiner, Boccio, Vincent 12/8/06

VINCENT BOCCIO
PRIMARY EXAMINER